

1964

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD — SENATE

21095

unending attention and care of this President and for the city worker; for North and for the South; for East and for the West. This is our President.

President Lyndon Johnson represents—in fact he is the embodiment of the spirit of national unity, the embodiment of national purpose, the man in whose hands we place our lives, our fortunes, and our sacred honor.

I am proud to be the friend of this great President. I am very proud that he has asked this convention to select me as his running mate.

And I ask you, my fellow Americans—I ask you—to walk with us, to work with us, to march forward with us—to help President Johnson build the great society for America of the future.

Yes, let us continue. Let us, fellow Democrats and fellow Americans, go forward. Let us take those giant steps forward to which the President has called us, to end the shame of poverty, to end the injustice of prejudice and the denial of opportunity, to build the great society and to secure the freedom of man and the peace of the world. We can do no less, and to this, tonight, let us resolve to pledge our every effort. Thank you.

DELINQUENT PARENTS CAUSE DELINQUENT CHILDREN

Mr. WALTERS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to have printed in the RECORD an editorial, from the Knoxville News-Sentinel, citing the actions of Judge Kenneth Turner, a member of the juvenile court in Memphis. Judge Turner puts his finger on the source of much of our juvenile delinquency problem when he states that the behavior of children stems in large part from the training and guidance they receive at home. His formula for assessment of the parents for the cost of maintaining their offspring who are sentenced to confinement in an institution is a good one. If Judge Turner's actions were copied more widely, I feel sure there would be a marked improvement in parental supervision, which ultimately would lead to a lower rate of juvenile delinquency.

There being no objection, the editorial was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

[From the Knoxville News-Sentinel, Sept. 4, 1964]

ADULT DELINQUENTS

In Memphis, a juvenile court judge, Kenneth Turner, bases his decisions on a central policy:

"I've always felt that delinquent parents caused delinquent children in 95 percent of the cases, and I'm trying in every way possible to hold such parents responsible for their behavior."

Judge Turner even has gone to the length of assessing parents for the cost of maintaining juvenile delinquents in institutions. If they don't pay, he cites them for contempt.

Of all that has been said and written about juvenile delinquency and its causes, Judge Turner has come closer to the real issue. If his policy were followed generally, there would be a substantial decline in juvenile delinquency.

THE REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL NOMINEE ABANDONS HIS POLICY OF FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. WALTERS in the chair). The Senator from Wisconsin is recognized.

Mr. PROXMIRE. Mr. President, the

distinguished junior Senator from Arizona [Mr. GOLDWATER], the Republican nominee for President of the United States, is recognized throughout the country and the world as a man who is fiscally conservative. For this reason, I was very much disappointed with his speech of last night, in which he advocated a cut in income taxes of 25 percent.

The only way in which I can construe his position is that the distinguished Senator from Arizona [Mr. GOLDWATER] walked out on his fiscal responsibility when he advocated a tax cut and stated that he would cut taxes 25 percent without cutting Federal spending.

Senator GOLDWATER's proposal would drive prices up cruelly. Senator GOLDWATER and I both voted against the recent administration-backed tax cut. We opposed it because a tax cut that reduced revenue below spending in this booming period of prosperity is irresponsible and inflationary.

I do not believe the Senator can have it both ways. Either the distinguished Senator from Arizona is for a balanced budget or he is not. If he has reversed his position on tax reduction, he should admit that he erred when he had the opportunity to support a tax cut, and not merely talk about it. Why was the Senator against tax cuts then, and why is he for them now?

Senator GOLDWATER's proposals would cost some \$3.8 billion in revenue a year—more than double the anticipated cash deficit for this fiscal year. In total, the Goldwater proposals would mean nearly \$19 billion of additional tax loss on top of the less than \$12 billion already proposed and adopted.

The Goldwater proposal takes no account of the state of the economy, whether inflationary forces are strong, and the level of unemployment high or low. What happened to the need for running a budget surplus so that we might retire some of the oppressive national debt? What happened to the need for reform in our tax structure?

Has the Senator from Arizona repudiated his own prior expenditure views, or the Republican platform? He has proposed increases in military expenditures alone costing an additional \$5 billion a year for every year in the next decade. This means a total of \$50 billion of additional expenditures. In addition, he has advocated increased veterans' benefits and a central Arizona TVA which would cost billions more.

On the tax side, the Republican platform has recommended tax credits for elderly, medical and hospital care, education, and fishing vessels that would cost at least \$2 billion annually in lost revenue, as well as excise tax cuts and tax transfers to the States.

Such unpremeditated slaughter of the balanced-budget concept is irresponsible. It would truly create a Federal Government that was an engine of inflation.

AMENDMENT OF FOREIGN ASSISTANCE ACT OF 1961—CLOTURE MOTION

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill (H.R. 11380) to amend fur-

ther the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 1234

Mr. JAVITS. Mr. President, I send to the desk my amendment No. 1234, co-sponsored by the junior Senator from Minnesota [Mr. MCCARTHY], to the amendment proposed by the Senator from Illinois [Mr. DIRKSEN] and the Senator from Montana [Mr. MANSFIELD] to H.R. 11380, for reading under the rule.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that further reading may be dispensed with, and that the amendment may be deemed to have been read in compliance with the rule.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The amendment of Mr. JAVITS is as follows:

Strike out all on and after line 1, page 1, and insert in lieu thereof the following:

"SEC. 402. It is the sense of the Congress that in any action or proceeding in any court of the United States or before any justice or judge of the United States in which there is placed in question the validity of the composition of any house of the Legislature of any State or the apportionment of the membership thereof, adequate time should be accorded (1) to such State to conform to the requirements of the Constitution of the United States relating to such composition or apportionment consistently with its electoral procedures and proceedings and with its procedure and proceedings for the amendment of the constitution of such State, and (2) for consideration by the States of any proposed amendment to the Constitution of the United States relating to the composition of the legislatures of the several States, or to the apportionment of the membership thereof, which shall have been duly submitted by the Congress to the States for ratification."

THE CHILEAN ELECTION

Mr. JAVITS. Mr. President, all Americans have cause to rejoice over the decisive victory of Eduardo Frei, the Christian Democratic Party's candidate for President of Chile. His decisive defeat of Salvador Allende, a Socialist who headed the four-party leftist coalition FRAP which included the Communists, is of great importance not only to Chile—where it represents a massive popular endorsement of orderly and lawful social change long overdue in that country—but to the cause of economic progress within a democratic framework, which is the basic goal of the Alliance for Progress.

Senator Frei's election is also significant, because this is the first national victory by a Christian Democratic Party in the Western Hemisphere, whereas, if the coalition including the Communists had been elected, it would have been the first time that a freely elected national government, including Communists, was installed in any free world country.

Last March I visited Chile and talked with the Chilean leaders, including Senator Frei, who has now been elected President, Senator Julio Duran, the candidate of the Radical Party, and President Alessandri. I reported to the Senate at that time the possibility that a Communist-Socialist coalition regime could be elected legally in that country. I then reported that it would be the first